

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.)	
Matthew Barrette Rozzelle)	Case No: <u>3:04CR39-6</u>
)	USM No: <u>19512-058</u>
Date of Original Judgment: <u>August 15, 2005</u>)	
Date of Last Amended Judgment: <u>April 23, 2009</u>)	<u>Chiege O. Kalu Okwara</u>
)	Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 137 months is reduced to 123 months

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Original Offense Level: <u>37</u>	Amended Offense Level: <u>34 (career offender)</u>
Criminal History Category: <u>VI</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>VI</u>
Original Guideline Range: <u>360 months to life</u>	Amended Guideline Range: <u>262 to 327 months</u>

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☒ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.
- ☒ Other (explain): Due to the defendant's classification as a Career Offender, the adjusted offense level is 37, rather than 36, with the total offense level being 34.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS


Upon release from imprisonment, and absent a residential plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to release from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S. Probation Officer.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated August 15, 2005, shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: February 14, 2012

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)


Frank D. Whitney
United States District Judge



